



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 23/2004**
INDRA CHAND JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Singh, Adv.

versus

JAIDEEP GUPTA

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Ananya Singh, Adv for
applicant in CM No.
25696/2022

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) BHAWANI SHARMA

ORDER
23.04.2024

%

**CM No. 25696/2022 (for impleadment of class-II LRs, through
attorney Mr. Nikesh Jain, deceased petitioner)**

Learned counsel for petitioner accepts notice of the present application and submits that copy of the application has not been supplied to him. Learned counsel for applicant has confronted the submission stating that physical copy of the application was duly supplied in the Court on the last date, yet in order to avoid inordinate delay, she undertakes to supply the same during the course of the day.

Let reply be filed within two weeks. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within one week. Parties shall exchange the advance copy.

As per office note, notice sent to non-applicants is received back unserved with the report 'unserved as address was found locked'.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that rest of the non-applicants have also been duly served. Let affidavit of service be filed by the next date of hearing.

Renotify on 27.08.2024.



**CM No. 16714/2022 (for impleadment of LR's by Ms. Sharda Jain
(wife) and Mr. Rajiv Kumar Jain (son) of deceased petitioner)**

Learned counsel for non-applicant, namely, Ms. Ananya Singh submits that she has filed the reply. Learned counsel for applicant submits that he has not received the copy of the reply.

Learned counsel for non-applicant has confronted the submission stating that physical copy of the application was duly supplied in the Court on the last date, yet, in order to avoid inordinate delay she undertakes to supply the same during the course of the day.

Renotify on 27.08.2024.

**BHAWANI SHARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 23, 2024/yo